arXiv:cond-mat/9303007v1 5 Mar 1993

Electrostatic screening in fullerene molecules.

J. González
Instituto de Estructura de la Materia.
Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas.
Serrano 123, 28006-Madrid. Spain.

F. Guinea
Instituto de Ciencia de Materiales.
Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas.
Cantoblanco. 28049-Madrid. Spain.

M. A. H. Vozmediano
Departamento de Física Teórica.
Facultad de Ciencias Físicas.
Universidad Complutense.
Avda. Complutense s/n 28040 Madrid. Spain.
(Received)

The screening properties of fullerene molecules are described by means of a continuum model which uses the electronic wavefunctions of planar graphite as a starting point. The long distance behavior of the system gives rise to a renormalizable theory, which flows towards a non trivial fixed point. Its existence implies an anomalous dielectric constant. The screening properties are neither metallic nor insulating. Alternatively, the intramolecular screening is obtained from a simple approximation to the electronic wavefunctions. Intermolecular effects are also calculated, As a consistency check, it is shown that the observed polarizability of C_{60} is well eproduced.

75.10.Jm, 75.10.Lp, 75.30.Ds.

Typeset Using *REVTEX*

The localization of the electrons within the C_{60} spheres implies that electrostatic interactions cannot be neglected in fullerene crystals. The simplest estimate for the charging energy of a C_{60} molecule, e^2/R, where R is the radius, gives a rather large value, $\approx$ 4eV. The intermediate size of the molecule puts it in a regime different from an isolated atom or a bulk system. Different approaches have been used in the study of electrostatic effects [1,4,2,3]. It has also been proposed that they can be the origin of superconductivity in doped systems [5,6].

In the present work, we use a simple model for the long wavelength electronic properties of fullerene molecules [7,8], to analyze the screening properties. It has been shown that it gives a reasonable approximation to the electronic levels of C_{60}. Furthermore, the scheme is sufficiently general to describe other systems with similar topology, like nanotubes and fullerene 'onions'. As shown in a previous work [9], the model can be used to study other features, like the electron-phonon interaction' with reasonable accuracy. Calculations based on this model [9] for the electrostatic interactions between the highest occupied orbitals (in doped systems) are in good agreement with more detailed calculations [6].

The scheme is based on the fact that the low lying electronic states of an isolated graphitic sheet are well approximated by an effective Dirac equation in (2+1) dimensions, using Hückel's theory of conjugated carbon compounds. This description plays the same role as the effective mass theories used in the long wavelength regime of other materials. The existence of rings with an odd number of atoms in C_{60} leads to additional complications, which require the introduction of a fictitious gauge field, to account for the frustration that these rings induce in the electronic states.

We use the preceding scheme to analyze first the screening properties of an isolated graphite plane. The fact that the dielectric constant is anomalous can be inferred from the semimetallic nature of the system. The density of states at the Fermi level is zero, and there is no Thomas Fermi screening at long distances. On the other hand, there is no energy gap, so that the system does not behave like an insulator. We can write an effective action for the system, coupling the Dirac electrons to the electromagnetic field in the standard way:

$$\mathcal{S} = \int d^2r dt\ \overline{\Psi}(\gamma_0\partial_0 + v_F \boldsymbol{\gamma}\cdot\boldsymbol{\partial}\ )\Psi - i\,\frac{e}{c}\int d^2r dt\ \overline{\Psi}(\gamma_0 A_0 + v_F\boldsymbol{\gamma}\cdot\boldsymbol{A}\ )\Psi \qquad (1)$$

The action is *not* Lorentz invariant, as the velocity of propagation of the fermions, v_F, is different (and much smaller) from that of the photons, c. Moreover, note that the Fermi fields are defined in a two dimensional plane, while the vector potential exists throughout the entire three dimensional space. In this way we ensure the correct interaction at long distances between charges in the plane, $e^2/|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}'|$. A consistent coupling of the electromagnetic field is attained, in the quantum theory, by performing the gauge fixing so that the spatial component transverse to the plane decouples from the electronic system. This is achieved precisely by the Feynman gauge, in which A_μ has the same direction as the current and each component does not mix with the rest

$$\langle T A_\mu(t,\mathbf{r}) A_\nu(t',\mathbf{r}')\rangle = -i\,\delta_{\mu\nu}\int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3}\frac{d\omega}{2\pi}\,\frac{e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}')-i\omega(t-t')}}{k^2-\omega^2-i\epsilon} \qquad (2)$$

From dimensional counting, it is easy to conclude that the coupling constant e is dimensionless (in units in which $\hbar = c = 1$), so that perturbation theory should give rise

to logarithmic corrections, which can be included in renormalized parameters in the standard way. We are interested in the low energy properties, which are given by the infrared fixed point of the theory. Our initial conditions are fixed by the known bare parameters, v_F and e at the upper cutoff where our continuum model is valid. This cutoff, in energies, is determined by the bandwidth of the π electron band that we are considering, which is proportional, within Hückel theory, to the interatomic hopping matrix element of the hamiltonian, $t \approx 2.2$eV [10]. In space, the relevant cutoff is the interatomic distance. $a = 1.4$Å. In terms of these parameters, $v_F = 3ta/(2\hbar)$.

Regularizing the theory by analytic continuation to dimension $d = 3-\varepsilon$ [11], the divergent contributions to the quantum effective action are given, to lowest order in $e^2/\hbar c$, by the diagrams in Figure 1. It can be shown that the two dimensional matter fields do not renormalize the scale of the electromagnetic field. The self-energy contribution from Figure 1a gives rise to the renormalization of v_F, $v_F = Z_{v_F}(v_F)_R$, as well as to the wavefunction renormalization, $\Psi = Z_\Psi \Psi_R$. In terms of hypergeometic functions, we obtain:

$$
\begin{aligned}
Z_{v_F} &= 1 - \frac{1}{4\pi}\frac{e^2}{\hbar c}\left\{\pi\frac{c}{v_F}F\left(\frac{1}{2},\frac{3}{2};\frac{1}{2};\frac{v_F^2}{c^2}\right) - 2\pi\frac{v_F}{c}\left(1-2\frac{v_F^2}{c^2}\right)F\left(\frac{3}{2},\frac{3}{2};\frac{3}{2};\frac{v_F^2}{c^2}\right)\right. \\
&\left. +4\left(1-2\frac{v_F^2}{c^2}\right)F\left(1,1;\frac{1}{2};\frac{v_F^2}{c^2}\right) - 4F\left(1,2;\frac{3}{2};\frac{v_F^2}{c^2}\right)\right\}\frac{1}{\varepsilon} + O\left(\left(\frac{e^2}{\hbar c}\right)^2\right) \\
Z_\Psi &= 1 + \frac{1}{2\pi}\frac{e^2}{\hbar c}\left(1-2\frac{v_F^2}{c^2}\right)\left\{2F\left(1,1;\frac{1}{2};\frac{v_F^2}{c^2}\right) - \right. \\
&\left. \pi\frac{v_F}{c}F\left(\frac{3}{2},\frac{3}{2};\frac{3}{2};\frac{v_F^2}{c^2}\right)\right\}\frac{1}{\varepsilon} + O\left(\left(\frac{e^2}{\hbar c}\right)^2\right)
\end{aligned}
\tag{3}
$$

The correction to the vertex from Figure 1b gives the renormalization of the electric charge, $e = Z_e e_R$, and provides at the same time an alternative way of computing the renormalization of v_F. The value of Z_{v_F} from the vertex correction coincides precisely with the above expression from the self-energy diagram, ensuring the renormalizability of the model. The second remarkable point is that, to this perturbative order, Z_e turns out to be equal to 1. This means that both pieces of the action (1) are affected by the same global renormalizatiton and that, therefore, the gauge invariance of the theory is maintained at the quantum level.

In the relevant physical limit, $v_F/c \to 0$, the structure of (3) is greatly simplified, and we obtain:

$$
\begin{aligned}
Z_{v_F} &= 1 - \frac{1}{4}\frac{e^2}{\hbar v_F}\frac{1}{\varepsilon} + O\left(\left(\frac{e^2}{\hbar v_F}\right)^2\right) \\
Z_\Psi &= 1 + O\left(\left(\frac{e^2}{\hbar v_F}\right)^2\right)
\end{aligned}
\tag{4}
$$

Note that the expansion, which originally was on the fine structure constant, $e^2/(\hbar c)$ is changed into an expansion on $e^2/(\hbar v_F) \propto e^2/(ta)$. This was to be expected on physical grounds, as in the limit when the propagation of the electromagnetic field is nearly instantaneous, this is the only measure of the relative strength of the electrostatic and kinetic energies. This parameter is greater than unity, although the convergence of the series is

improved by the presence of dimensionless constants in the denominators of all terms. The fact that the electric charge is not renormalized is true to all orders, in the limit $v_F/c \to 0$. The only coupling which remains is longitudinal, and the matrix elements for the relevant transitions tend to zero for small momentum transfers.

Applying standard renormalization group methods [11], we obtain the variation of the renormalized coupling ta/e^2 as a function of the energy scale μ

$$\mu \frac{d}{d\mu} \left(\frac{ta}{e^2} \right) = -\frac{1}{4} + O\left(\frac{e^2}{ta} \right) \tag{5}$$

The computation of higher perturbative orders simply amounts to adding higher powers of $e^2/(ta)$ to the right hand side of the equation, and does not alter the qualitative behaviour of the renormalized coupling. From (5) it is clear, in fact, that perturbation theory becomes more accurate as the spatial scale increases in the infrared regime.

The scaling of v_F changes the density of states al low energies, which behaves as:

$$N(\epsilon) \sim |\epsilon|^{1+e^2/(4ta)+O(e^4/(ta)^2)} \tag{6}$$

The scaling outlined above is limited, in a fullerene sphere, by its radius, which acts as an infrared cutoff. In a doped compound, another low energy scale arises: the Fermi energy associated with the extra electrons, or a length $\propto k_F^{-1}$. In the cases of interest, the filling factor is small, 3/60, so that the relevant cutoff remains the radius of the molecule ($k_F^{-1} \sim 20a$). Note also that, in doped systems, the existence of a finite density of states at the Fermi level allows us to define a Fermi-Thomas screening length ($k_{FT}^2 \sim e^2 k_F/(taR)$). Again, for the relevant values of k_F, this length is greater than the radius. Hence, metallic screening plays no role on the intramolecular screening in doped C_{60}.

The absence of renormalization of e^2, mentioned earlier, implies that the charging energies scale as e^2/R, although with a proportionality term reduced by the finite corrections.

We can also use our continuum aprroximation to the electronic structure of the fullerenes to study their electrostatic properties in a different way to the one discussed before. In principle, the knowledge of all the (discrete) electronic levels, allows us to use standard second order perturbation theory, and calculate the polarization of the inner levels as fucntion of the extra electrons added to the molecule. In this way we can estimate the attractive interaction between two additional electrons, induced by the polarization of the other levels. The level spacings scale like ta/R, so that, on dimensional grounds, this interaction behaves as $e^4/(taR)$, while the direct Coulomb repulsion is $\propto e^2/R$. Thus, the balance between the two depends crucially on the dimensionless prefactor. For the wavefunctions of the lowest unoccupied triplet, this value is given by a convergent sum, which involves transitions from multiplets which are higher and higher in energy. The convergence of this sum has the same origin as the finiteness of the diagrammatic corrections to e^2 in the previous analysis. From the computation of this series, we find a value of 0.14. Hence, the polarization of the inner levels in C_{60} reduces by a significant factor ~ 50 % the bare Coulomb interactions. We can also compute the effective interaction between two additional electrons in the lowest triplet. This interaction is attractive, and reduces strongly, but does not cancel, the bare repulsion.

Finally, we can compute the interaction between electrons located in different molecules. For two large molecules at close distance, the most convinient starting point is tha analysis

of two planes of graphite. The screening of the electrostatic interactions is described by the scaling given in eq. (5), until a scale proportional to the interplane distance is reached. Then, additional screening is induced by the mutual polarization effects of the two planes. This effects leads to an interaction, which, to lowest order, goes like $e^4 \times A/(taD^3)$, where A is the area of the planes, and D the interplane distance.

At sufficiently large distances, each molecule is described by its polarizabilty in a standard way. It can be computed from the knowledge of the electronic transition energies, and the corresponding matrix elements. For a neutral, spherical fullerene, we find:

$$P = \frac{e^2 R^3}{ta} \left[\frac{1}{3} + \sum_{l=2}^{\infty} \frac{8l(l+2)}{9(l+1)\sqrt{2(l^2+2l-1)}} \left(1 - \sqrt{\frac{(l-1)(l+1)}{(l+1)(l+2)}} \right)^2 \right] \tag{7}$$

Inserting the appropiate parameters for C_{60}, we obtain $P = 90$Å, which is in very good agreement with the experimental value, 80 Å [1,12], as well as with more sophisticated theoretical calculations [3,13,14].

From the scaling of the density of states (6), we can also infer the role of intermolecular tunneling. The anomalous terms in the exponent reduce the effective tunneling at low energies, in a similar way to the case of a 1D Luttinger liquid [15–17]. If the correction to the non interacting exponent is greater than one, it can be shown that intermolecular tunneling becomes an irrelevant perturbation at low energies. In the present situation, that is not tha case. However, the surviving effective tunneling should be greatly reduced with respect to its bare value [17]:

$$t'_{ren} \sim t'_{bare} \left(\frac{t'_{bare}}{t} \right)^{\frac{e^2}{4ta}} \tag{8}$$

In summary, we have studied the screening properties of fullerene molecules. The perfect semimetallic nature of isolated graphite planes leads to unusual features, like the absence of metallic screening, although the system does not behave like an insulator. There is a significant reduction of the intramolecular charging energies, and an associated reduction in the effective intermolecular hopping. We have also shown how to compute the most relevant intermolecular electrostatic effects.

From a fundamental point of view, we have found that the low energy properties of the system give rise to a non trivial, renormalizable, field theory, which looks like a simplified version of QED. The anomalous dimensions acquired by the propagators resemble, in many ways, the one dimensional Luttinger liquids.

REFERENCES

[1] R. L. Hettich, R. N. Compton and R. H. Ritchie, Phys. Rev. Lett. **67**, 1242 (1991).

[2] R. Saito, G. Dresselhaus and M. S. Dresselhaus, Phys. Rev. B **46** 9906 (1992).

[3] V. P. Antropov, O. Gunnarson and O. Jepsen, Phys. Rev. B **46** 13647 (1992), see also O. Gunnarson and G. Zwicknagl, Phys. Rev. Lett. **69** 957 (1992).

[4] G. N. Murphy and A. Auerbach, Phys. Rev. B **46**, 331 (1992), G. N. Murphy and A. Auerbach, Europhys. Lett. **19** 103 (1992).

[5] S. Chakravarty, M. Gelfand and S. Kivelson, Science **254** 970 (1991).

[6] R. Friedberg, T. D. Lee and H. C. Ren, Phys. Rev. B **46** 14150 (1992).

[7] J. González, F. Guinea and M.A.H. Vozmediano, Phys. Rev. Lett. **69** (1992) 172.

[8] J. González, F. Guinea and M. A. H. Vozmediano, submitted to Nucl. Phys.

[9] F. Guinea, J. González and M. A. H. Vozmediano, Phys. Rev. B, in press.

[10] E. Hückel, Zeits. für Physik, **83**, 632 (1933).

[11] P. Ramond, *Field Theory. A Modern Primer.* (Benjamin/Cummings, London, 1981). Note that our definition of e^2 differs from this reference by a factor 4π. In our units, the fine structure constant is $e^2/(\hbar c)$, and the Coulomb potential behaves as $e^2/|\vec{r}-\vec{r'}|$.

[12] A. F. Hebard, R. C. Haddon, R. M. Fleming and A. R. Korton, Appl. Phys. Lett. **59** 2106 (1991).

[13] Z. Shuai and J. L. Bredas, Phys. Rev. B **46** 16135 (1992).

[14] A. A. Quong and M. R. Pederson, Phys. Rev. B **46**, 12906 (1992).

[15] X. G. Wen, Phys. Rev. B **42**, 6623 (1990).

[16] H. J. Schultz, Int. Journal Mod. Phys. **1-2**, 57 (1991).

[17] F. Guinea and G. Zimanyi, Phys. Rev. B **47** 501 (1993).

FIGURES

FIG. 1. Low order diagrams computed in the text. a) Self energy correction. b) Vertex correction. The convention used for the momenta and energies is that of the limit $v_F/c \to 0$.